



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/010,159 11/13/2001		Justin Sharaf	D5080-00001	8118	
7590 09/13/2004			EXAMINER		
Robert F. Zielinski			RODRIGUEZ, JOSEPH C		
Wolf, Block, Sc	chorr & Solis-Cohen LLP				
1650 Arch Street 22 Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2097			3653		

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 4 11 11		T A 12 44 - 3			
4		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/010,1	59	SHARAF, JUSTIN			
		Examine	•	Art Unit			
			Rodriguez	3653	Illu		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 June 2004 . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 2.4-10,12-29 and 31-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2.4-10,12-29 and 31-34 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 35-39 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 November 2001</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ a he drawing(s) l ection is requi	ne held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) De of References Cited (PTO-892) De of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) The mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ De No(s)/Mail Date	08)	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/010,159

Art Unit: 3653

Final Rejection

Applicant's arguments filed 4/29/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for reasons detailed below.

The prior art rejections are maintained or modified as follows:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 35-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zimmerman (US '534).

Zimmerman teaches a method (Fig. 5-10) comprising disposing at least one magnet apparatus on each side of a pipe (Fig. 9), wherein the magnet apparatus has magnets with pole structures arranged as claimed within a housing (Fig. 6). Here, Zimmerman expressly teaches adjusting (i.e., amplifying) the magnetic flux using a specific magnet structure orientation, wherein the resulting magnetic flux substantially extends to a central axis of the pipe (Fig. 6, 9; col. 8, In. 64 et seq.).

Claims 35-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Menold (US '754).

Page 3

Menold teaches a method (Fig. 2-5) comprising disposing at least one magnet apparatus on each side of a pipe (Fig. 5), wherein the magnet apparatus has magnets with pole structures arranged as claimed within a housing (Fig. 3). Here, it is implicit from the orientation of the magnet structures that the magnetic flux substantially extends to a central axis of the pipe.

Applicant's contention that the prior art fails to teach a plurality of magnets disposed in a "planar relationship" is unpersuasive. In Menold, the front face of the magnets can be regarded as disposed in a planar relationship as said magnet faces all rest on the same plane (Fig. 2, near faces defined by reference arrows stemming from 24). Similarly, in Zimmerman, the front face of the magnets can be regarded as disposed in a planar relationship (Fig. 5, near face defined by reference arrows stemming from 20). Applicant is reminded that the prior art must only anticipate the *claimed* invention. Therefore, as the prior art clearly teaches magnets disposed in a planar relationship, the claims stand rejected.

Examiner recommends amending the claims to include structural features that clearly define over the prior art to obtain patentability of instant invention.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims 2, 4-10,12-29 and 31-34 drawn to an invention nonelected without traverse in the paper received October 8, 2003. A complete reply to

Art Unit: 3653

the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Examiner has maintained the prior art rejections, statutory rejections and drawing objections as previously stated and as modified above. Applicant's amendment necessitated any new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3653

Conclusion

Page 5

Any references not explicitly discussed above but made of record are considered relevant to the prosecution of the instant application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph C Rodriguez whose telephone number is **703-308-8342**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F during normal business hours (9 am – 6 pm, EST).

The **Official** fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **703-872-9326** (After-Final **703-972-9327**).

The **UnOfficial** fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **703-306-2571** or **703-308-6552**.

The examiner's **UNOFFICIAL Personal fax number** is **703-746-3678**.

Further, information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PMR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see

http://pair-direct.uspto.gov

Should you have questions on access to the Private PMR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (Toll Free).

Application/Control Number: 10/010,159

Art Unit: 3653

Alternatively, inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding can also be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **703-308-1113**.

September 7, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 6